STRengthening Analytical Thinking for Observational Studies: the STRATOS initiative

Willi Sauerbrei,^{a*†} Michal Abrahamowicz,^b Douglas G. Altman,^c Saskia le Cessie,^d and[‡] James Carpenter^e on behalf of the STRATOS initiative

Statistics in Medicine, Early View, 30 July 2014

Initiative launched Open and SG meetings Preliminary discussions ISCB 2013, Munich ISCB 2012, Bergen ISCB 2011, Ottawa





Why?

- Statistical models are always a simplification of real life processes.
- To improve these models, researchers develop new and more complicated approaches
- Different and partly conflicting approaches are proposed
- Expert knowledge is required to use methods
- Statistical software has to be available

In reality:

- Weaknesses of many analyses
- Many analyses are conducted by people with limited statistical knowledge
- Rapid developments of statistical methodology require guidance and education

Improvement

At least **two tasks** are essential

- 1. Experts in specific methodological areas have to work towards developping guidance documents
- 2. An ever-increasing need for **continuing education** at all stages of the career

For busy applied researchers it is often **difficult to follow methodological progress** even in their principal application area

- Reasons are diverse
- Consequence is that analyses may be deficient
- Knowledge gained through research on statistical methodology needs to be transferred to the broader community
- Many analysts would be grateful for an overview on the current state of the art and for practical expert guidance

Aims of the initiative

- Provide guidance documents for various levels of statistical knowledge
- For the start we will concentrate on state-of-the-art documents for experienced statisticians
- Help to identify questions requiring more primary research

The overarching **long-term aim**:

- Raising awareness on **design** issues
- Improving key parts of statistical **analyses** of observational studies in practice

Short term aims

- For a small number of **highly relevant topics** we will try to assess the current **state of practice** and identify current documents which try provide some guidance
- Try to find 'agreement' what to recommend within each of the three levels of statistical knowledge
- Documents have to be **understood** and at least broadly accepted
- Positive examples from the current literature will help to reach the latter goal

Topics to start

Topic Group		Chairs and further members	
1	Missing data	Chairs:	James Carpenter
		Members:	Els Goetghebeur, Kate Lee, Rod Little, Kate Tilling, Ian White
2	Selection of variables and functional forms in multivariable analysis	Chairs:	Michal Abrahamowicz, Willi Sauerbrei
		Members:	Harald Binder, Frank Harrell, Patrick Royston
3	Descriptive and initial data analysis	Chairs:	Marianne Huebner, Saskia le Cessie, Werner Vach
		Members:	Maria Blettner, Danielle Bodicoat
4	Measurement error and missclassification	Chairs:	Raymond Carroll, Laurence Freedman
		Members:	Paul Gustafson, Victor Kipnis, Helmut Küchenhoff, Len Stefanski
5	Study design	Chairs:	Mitchell Gail, Neil Pearce
		Members:	Doug Altman, Gary Collins, Luc Duchateau, Stephen Evans, Peggy Sekula, Sharom Wacholder, Mark Woodward
6	Evaluation diagnostic tests and prediction models	Chairs:	Petra Macaskill, Ewout Steyerberg, Andrew Vickers
		Members:	Patrick Bossuyt, Gary Collins
7	Causal inference	Chairs:	Els Goetghebeur, Erica Moodie
		Members:	Bianca De Stavola, Saskia le Cessie, Ingeborg Waernbaum
8	Survival data (new)	Chairs:	Michal Abrahamowicz, Per Kragh Andersen, Terry Therneau
		Members:	6

At the moment

- 45 members from 13 countries
- 8 Topic Groups

www.stratos-initiative.org

Aim of this session

Presenting first results

Informing you!

Getting you involved!

• Session during lunchtime 12:35 – 13:15

For further information:

Willi Sauerbrei

(IMBI, Medical Center – University of Freiburg, Germany, wfs@imbi.uni-freiburg.de)

or coming up soon via:

"Contact" on www.stratos-initiative.org